

$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras generated by the Virasoro algebra and sl_2 ¹(Appeared in *Math. Nach.* **246/247** (2002), 188-201.)Yucan Su[†], Jiangfeng Zhang[†] and Kaiming Zhao[‡][†]*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200030, P. R. China*[‡]*Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P. R. China*

ABSTRACT The present paper is another step toward the classification of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras: we classify $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,j}$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 0 with $\dim \mathcal{A}_{i,j} \leq 1$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying:

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_0 = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,0} \simeq \text{Vir}$, the centerless Virasoro algebra,
- (2) $\mathcal{A}_{0,-1}, \mathcal{A}_{0,0}, \mathcal{A}_{0,1}$ span the 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra sl_2 ,
- (3) $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by the centerless Virasoro algebra and sl_2 .

These algebras include some rank 2 centerless Virasoro algebras, and some rank 2 Block algebras and their extensions.

§1. Introduction

The classification of simple $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras of finite growth was given by O. Mathieu [M] in 1992. It is natural to ask what would happen for $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras. This problem was initiated and studied by Osborn and Zhao. They investigated several cases in [OZ1-OZ5] under some mild restrictions. There are still some cases left untouched. The present paper is another step by the authors toward the classification of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras.

Throughout this paper we assume that the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,j}$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 0 with $\dim \mathcal{A}_{i,j} \leq 1$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfies the following three conditions:

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_0 = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,0} \simeq \text{Vir}$, the centerless Virasoro algebra;
- (2) $\mathcal{A}_{0,-1}, \mathcal{A}_{0,0}, \mathcal{A}_{0,1}$ span the 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra sl_2 ;
- (3) $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by $\mathcal{A}_{0,\pm 1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_0$.

To state our main theorem, we recall some known Lie algebras and construct some other Lie algebras from them. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, we have the generalized centerless Virasoro algebra $\text{Vir}(\alpha) = \oplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{i,j}$ with bracket

$$[L_{i,j}, L_{k,\ell}] = (k - i + (\ell - j)\alpha) L_{i+k, j+\ell} \text{ for } (i, j), (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.1)$$

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, denote $Z = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{(-\alpha, \beta), (-2\alpha, 2\beta)\}$. We have (see [B]) the Block algebra $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta) = \oplus_{(i,j) \in Z} \mathbb{F} L_{i,j}$ with the bracket

$$[L_{i,j}, L_{k,\ell}] = \begin{vmatrix} i + \alpha & j - \beta \\ k + \alpha & \ell - \beta \end{vmatrix} L_{i+k, j+\ell} \text{ for } (i, j), (k, \ell) \in Z. \quad (1.2)$$

¹AMS Subject Classification: 17B36, 17B65, 17B70

Supported by a NSF grant 10171064 of China and a Fund from National Education Ministry of China.

From [DZ], we see that the Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)$ has the following possible central extensions $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta; a_1, a_2, a'_2) = \mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta) \oplus \mathbb{F}\mathbf{c}_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}\mathbf{c}_2$ for any $a_1, a_2, a'_2 \in \mathbb{F}$:

$$[L_{i,j}, L_{k,\ell}] = \begin{vmatrix} i + \alpha & j - \beta \\ k + \alpha & \ell - \beta \end{vmatrix} L_{i+k, j+\ell} + \delta_{i+k, -\alpha} \delta_{j+\ell, \beta} (\alpha j + \beta i) a_1 \mathbf{c}_1 \\ + \delta_{i+k, -2\alpha} \delta_{j+\ell, 2\beta} [a_2 (\alpha j + \beta i) + a'_2 (\alpha + i)] \mathbf{c}_2, \quad (1.3)$$

for $(i, j), (k, \ell) \in Z$. Note that $\mathbf{c}_1 = 0$ if $(-\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathbf{c}_1 = \mathbf{c}_2 = 0$ if $(-2\alpha, 2\beta) \notin \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Set $Z_+ = \{(i, j) \in Z \mid j \geq -1\}$, $Z_- = \{(i, j) \in Z \mid j \leq -1\}$. If $\beta = -1$, the subspace $\mathcal{B}^+(\alpha, -1; a_1, a_2, a'_2) = \oplus_{(i,j) \in Z_+} \mathbb{F}L_{i,j} \oplus \mathbb{F}\mathbf{c}_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}\mathbf{c}_2$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, -1; a_1, a_2, a'_2)$. If $\beta = 1$, the subspace $\mathcal{B}^+(\alpha, 1; a_1, a_2, a'_2) = \oplus_{(i,j) \in Z_-} \mathbb{F}L_{i,j} \oplus \mathbb{F}\mathbf{c}_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}\mathbf{c}_2$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, 1; a_1, a_2, a'_2)$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, we have a Lie algebra $\mathcal{C}(\alpha) = \oplus_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}L_{i,j}$ with the bracket

$$[L_{i,j}, L_{k,\ell}] = a_{i,j,k,\ell} L_{i+\ell, k+j} \text{ for } i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.4)$$

such that

$$a_{i,j,k,\ell} = \begin{cases} k(j+1) - (\ell+1)i + (\ell-j)\alpha & \text{if } j, \ell, j+\ell \geq -1, \\ [-\frac{\ell-2}{-\ell-j-2}](k(j+1) - (\ell+1)i + (\ell-j)\alpha) & \text{if } j \geq 0, \ell \leq -2, \\ k - i & \text{if } j = \ell = -1, \\ -\alpha + i & \text{if } j = -1, \ell \leq -2, \\ 0 & \text{if } j, \ell \leq -2, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} = \frac{i!}{j!}$ for $0 \leq j \leq i$ and $\begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} = 0$ otherwise. Note that $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ is a Lie algebra such that $\mathcal{C}^-(\alpha) = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \leq -2} \mathbb{F}L_{i,j}$ is an abelian ideal and $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)/\mathcal{C}^-(\alpha) \cong \mathcal{B}^+(-\alpha, -1, 1, 0, 0)$. Certainly we have the dual Lie algebra $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$ whose (i, j) -homogeneous space is the $(i, -j)$ -homogeneous space of $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$.

The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,j}$ be a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 0 with $\dim \mathcal{A}_{i,j} \leq 1$ for each i and j . Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies Conditions (1)-(3). Then $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Vir}(\alpha)$ for a suitable $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, or to $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta; a_1, a_2, a'_2)$ for suitable $\alpha, \beta, a_1, a_2, a'_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq \pm 1$, or to $\mathcal{B}^+(\alpha, \pm 1; a_1, a_2, a'_2)$ for suitable $\alpha, a_1, a_2, a'_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, or to $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ or to $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$ for a suitable $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$.*

For convenience, we combine the two types of algebras $\text{Vir}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)$ into a uniform form: $\mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta) = \oplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}L_{i,j}$ subject to

$$[L_{i,j}, L_{k,\ell}] = (\beta(i\ell - jk) + (k - i) + (\ell - j)\alpha) L_{i+\ell, k+j}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. Note that $\mathcal{D}(\alpha, 0) = \text{Vir}(\alpha)$.

2. Some basic results on the Virasoro algebra

Recall that the centerless Virasoro algebra is the Lie algebra $\text{Vir} = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F} L_i$ with bracket $[L_i, L_j] = (j - i)L_{i+j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. A weight module V over Vir is called a *module of the intermediate series* if all the weight multiplicities are ≤ 1 . There are three families of the modules of the intermediate series over Vir : $A_{\alpha, \beta}, A(\alpha), B(\alpha)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. They all have basis $\{v_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with the following actions:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha, \beta} : L_i v_k &= (\alpha + k + \beta i) v_{i+k}, \\ A(\alpha) : L_i v_k &= (i + k) v_{i+k}, \quad k \neq 0, \quad L_i v_0 = i(i + \alpha) v_i, \\ B(\alpha) : L_i v_k &= k x_{i+k}, \quad k \neq -i, \quad L_i v_{-i} = -i(i + \alpha) v_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $A'_{\alpha, \beta}$ the nontrivial irreducible subquotient module of $A_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Theorem 2.1(see [KS, Z]) (1) *A nontrivial irreducible module of the intermediate series over Vir is isomorphic to $A'_{\alpha, \beta}$ for some suitable $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$.*

(2) *An indecomposable module of the intermediate series over Vir is isomorphic to a subquotient module of $A_{\alpha, \beta}, A(\alpha), B(\alpha)$ for some suitable $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$.*

(3) *We have the following isomorphisms:*

$$A_{\alpha, 0} \simeq A_{\alpha, 1} \text{ if } \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad A'_{0, 0} \simeq A'_{0, 1}. \quad (2.2)$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now let $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i, j}$ be a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ with $\dim \mathcal{A}_{i, j} \leq 1$ satisfying Conditions (1)-(3). For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, take $L_{i, j} \in \mathcal{A}_{i, j} \setminus \{0\}$ if $\mathcal{A}_{i, j} \neq \{0\}$ or else let $L_{i, j} = 0$. By Conditions (1)-(2), we can normalize the elements $L_{i, 0}, L_{0, \pm 1}$ such that

$$[L_{i, 0}, L_{j, 0}] = (j - i)L_{i+j, 0} \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$[L_{0, i}, L_{0, j}] = (j - i)\alpha L_{0, i+j} \text{ for } -1 \leq i, j, i + j \leq 1, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ is a constant. Since $\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i, \pm 1}$ is an $\mathcal{A}_0$ -module, and $[L_{0, 0}, L_{0, \pm 1}] = \pm \alpha L_{0, \pm 1} \neq 0$, by Theorem 2.3 in [OZ1], there exist $\beta_{\pm 1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that we can normalize $L_{i, \pm 1}$ to satisfy

$$[L_{i, 0}, L_{j, \pm 1}] = (\pm \alpha + j + \beta_{\pm 1} i) L_{i+j, \pm 1} \quad (3.3)$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \pm \alpha, i + j \pm \alpha \neq 0$, or with $\beta_{\pm 1} \neq 0, 1$. In particular $L_{i, \pm 1} \neq 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \pm \alpha \neq 0$.

Now we divide the proof of Theorem 1.1 into several lemmas.

Lemma 0. After a change of basis, the coefficients β_1 and β_{-1} defined in (3.3) satisfy the relation

$$\beta_1 \in \{\beta_{-1}, -\beta_{-1}, -1 - \beta_{-1}, -2 - \beta_{-1}\}.$$

Proof. Suppose

$$[L_{i,-1}, L_{j,1}] = c_{i,j} L_{i+j,0} \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and some } c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $c_{0,0} = 2\alpha$. Applying $\text{ad } L_{k,0}$ to (3.4), using (3.3), we have that

$$(-\alpha + i + \beta_{-1}k)c_{i+k,j} + (\alpha + j + \beta_1k)c_{i,j+k} = (i + j - k)c_{i,j}, \quad (3.5)$$

holds for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$(i - \alpha)(i + k - \alpha) \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_{-1} \neq 0, 1, \text{ and } (j + \alpha)(j + k + \alpha) \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_1 \neq 0, 1. \quad (3.6)$$

It is clear that β_1 and β_{-1} are symmetric in (3.5). We shall solve $c_{i,j}$ in (3.5). Setting $i = 0, j = k$ and $j = 0, i = k$ in (3.5), we obtain respectively

$$\begin{aligned} (-\alpha + \beta_{-1}k)c_{k,k} + (\alpha + (1 + \beta_1)k)c_{0,2k} &= 0 \text{ if } (k - \alpha)(k + \alpha)(2k + \alpha) \neq 0, \\ (-\alpha + (1 + \beta_{-1})k)c_{2k,0} + (\alpha + \beta_1k)c_{k,k} &= 0 \text{ if } (k - \alpha)(2k - \alpha)(k + \alpha) \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.7), we obtain

$$(-\alpha + \beta_{-1}k)(-\alpha + (1 + \beta_{-1})k)c_{2k,0} - (\alpha + \beta_1k)(\alpha + (1 + \beta_1)k)c_{0,2k} = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \pm \alpha, 2k \pm \alpha \neq 0$. In (3.5), setting $i = 0$ and substituting j, k by $2j, 2i$, and setting $j = 0$ and substituting i, k by $2i, 2j$ respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (-\alpha + 2\beta_{-1}i)c_{2i,2j} + (\alpha + 2j + 2\beta_1i)c_{0,2(i+j)} &= 2(j - i)c_{0,2j}, \\ (-\alpha + 2i + 2\beta_{-1}j)c_{2(i+j),0} + (\alpha + 2\beta_1j)c_{2i,2j} &= 2(i - j)c_{2i,0}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for $2i - \alpha, 2j + \alpha, 2(i + j) \pm \alpha \neq 0$. From (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha + 2\beta_1j)((\alpha + 2j + 2\beta_1i)c_{0,2(i+j)} - 2(j - i)c_{0,2j}) \\ &= (-\alpha + 2\beta_{-1}i)((-\alpha + 2i + 2\beta_{-1}j)c_{2(i+j),0} - 2(i - j)c_{2i,0}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

In (3.5), setting $i = j = 0$ and substituting k by $2k$, we obtain

$$(-\alpha + 2\beta_{-1}k)c_{2k,0} + (\alpha + 2\beta_1k)c_{0,2k} = -4k\alpha \text{ for } 2k \pm \alpha \neq 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Multiplying (3.10) by $-\alpha + 2\beta_{-1}(i + j)$ and using (3.11) to substitute $c_{2(i+j),0}, c_{2i,0}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(-\alpha + 2\beta_{-1}(i + j))(\alpha + 2\beta_1j)((\alpha + 2j + 2\beta_1i)c_{0,2(i+j)} - 2(j - i)c_{0,2j}) \\ &= (-\alpha + 2\beta_{-1}i)(-\alpha + 2i + 2\beta_{-1}j)(-\alpha + 2\beta_1(i + j))c_{0,2(i+j)} - 4(i + j)\alpha \\ &\quad - 2(j - i)(-\alpha + 2\beta_{-1}(i + j))((\alpha + 2\beta_1i)c_{0,2i} + 4i\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for $2i \pm \alpha, 2j \pm \alpha, 2(i + j) \pm \alpha \neq 0$. Setting $i = -j$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} &-\alpha(\alpha - 2\beta_1i)((\alpha + 2(\beta_1 - 1)i)2\alpha + 4ic_{0,-2i}) \\ &= -\alpha(-\alpha + 2\beta_{-1}i)(-\alpha + 2(1 - \beta_{-1})i)2\alpha - 4i\alpha((\alpha + 2\beta_1i)c_{0,2i} + 4i\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

for $\pm 2i \pm \alpha \neq 0$, that is

$$(\alpha + 2\beta_1 i)c_{0,2i} - (\alpha - 2\beta_1 i)c_{0,-2i} = 2i\alpha((\beta_{-1} - \beta_1)(\beta_{-1} + \beta_1 - 1) - 2), \quad (3.14)$$

for $i, \pm 2i \pm \alpha \neq 0$. From (3.8), (3.11), we have

$$\left| \begin{array}{cc} (-\alpha + \beta_{-1}k)(-\alpha + (1 + \beta_{-1})k) & -(\alpha + \beta_1 k)(\alpha + (1 + \beta_1)k) \\ -\alpha + 2\beta_{-1}k & \alpha + 2\beta_1 k \end{array} \right|_{c_{0,2k}} \quad (3.15)$$

$$= -4k(-\alpha + \beta_{-1}k)(-\alpha + (1 + \beta_{-1})k)\alpha,$$

for $k, k \pm \alpha, 2k \pm \alpha \neq 0$. Denote by d_k the determinant in (3.15). Using (3.15) in (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(-\alpha + \beta_{-1}i)(-\alpha + (1 + \beta_{-1})i)(\alpha + 2\beta_1 i)d_{-i} \\ & + 2(\alpha + \beta_{-1}i)(\alpha + (1 + \beta_{-1})i)(\alpha - 2\beta_1 i)d_i + ((\beta_{-1} - \beta_1)(\beta_{-1} + \beta_1 - 1) - 2)d_i d_{-i} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

for $\pm i, \pm i \pm \alpha, \pm 2i \pm \alpha \neq 0$. First assume that one of β_1, β_{-1} is zero, say, $\beta_{-1} = 0$ (since β_1 and β_{-1} are symmetric). Then from (3.15), we see that d_i is a polynomial on i of degree 2 with the coefficient of i^2 being $-\alpha\beta_1(\beta_1 + 3)$, and (3.16) is a polynomial in i of degree 4. Calculating the coefficient of i^4 gives

$$\beta_1^2(\beta_1 + 1)(\beta_1 + 2)(\beta_1 - 1)(\beta_1 + 3)\alpha^2 = 0 \text{ if } \beta_{-1} = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Now assume that $\beta_1\beta_{-1} \neq 0$. Then (3.16) is a polynomial on i of degree 6. Calculating the coefficient of i^6 gives

$$-4(\beta_1 - \beta_{-1})(\beta_1 + \beta_{-1})(\beta_1 + \beta_{-1} + 1)(\beta_1 + \beta_{-1} + 2)\beta_1^2\beta_{-1}^2 = 0 \text{ if } \beta_1, \beta_{-1} \neq 0. \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.17), (3.18), we obtain that

$$\beta_1 = \beta_{-1}, -\beta_{-1}, -1 - \beta_{-1}, -2 - \beta_{-1}, \text{ or } \beta_1 = 1, -3, \beta_{-1} = 0, \text{ or } \beta_1 = 0, \beta_{-1} = 1, -3. \quad (3.19)$$

If $\beta_1 = 1, -3$ and $\beta_{-1} = 0$, we can re-choose $\{L_{i,-1} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\alpha\}\}$ (if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, we shall not consider $L_{\alpha,-1}$) such that β_{-1} becomes 1 (cf. (2.2)). By interchanging $\mathcal{A}_{0,1}$ with $\mathcal{A}_{0,-1}$ if necessary, it suffices to consider the following 4 cases: $\beta_1 = \beta_{-1}, -\beta_{-1}, -1 - \beta_{-1}, -2 - \beta_{-1}$. $\square$

Remark. Before we consider cases one by one, observe the following. From (3.15), we can solve $c_{0,2k}$, then from the first equation of (3.9), we can solve $c_{2i,2j}$. Note that by setting $k = \pm 1$ in (3.5), we can express $c_{i,j}$ in terms of $c_{i-1,j+1}, c_{i+1,j-1}$. So if $i + j$ is even, we can solve $c_{i,j}$. On the other hand, if $i + j$ is odd, by taking k to be odd in (3.5), we can solve $c_{i,j}$. This shows that the solution of $c_{i,j}$ in (3.5) is unique (except possibly a finite number of i, j).

Lemma 1. If $\beta_1 = \beta_{-1}$, then $\beta_1 = 0, \pm 1, -\frac{1}{2}$. The cases $\beta_1 = 0, 1, -\frac{1}{2}$ are covered by the cases $\beta_1 \in \{-\beta_{-1}, -1 - \beta_{-1}, -2 - \beta_{-1}\}$ considered below, while the case $\beta_1 = \beta_{-1} = 1$ leads to a contradiction.

Proof. In this case, (3.15) and (3.11) give

$$c_{0,2k} = \frac{2\alpha(-\alpha + \beta_1 k)(-\alpha + (1 + \beta_1)k)}{\alpha^2 - 2\beta_1^2(1 + \beta_1)k^2}, \quad c_{2k,0} = \frac{2\alpha(\alpha + \beta_1 k)(\alpha + (1 + \beta_1)k)}{\alpha^2 - 2\beta_1^2(1 + \beta_1)k^2}, \quad (3.20)$$

for $k, k \pm \alpha, 2k \pm \alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha^2 - 2\beta_1^2(1 + \beta_1)k^2 \neq 0$. Using this in (3.10), we obtain

$$\frac{16\alpha^2\beta_1^3(\beta_1 - 1)(1 + \beta_1)(1 + 2\beta_1)ij(i + j)(j - i)^2(\alpha^2 + \beta_1^2(1 + \beta_1)ij)}{(\alpha^2 - 2\beta_1^2(1 + \beta_1)i^2)(\alpha^2 - 2\beta_1^2(1 + \beta_1)j^2)(\alpha^2 - 2\beta_1^2(1 + \beta_1)(i + j)^2)} = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

for all but a finite number of $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\beta_1 = 0, \pm 1, -\frac{1}{2}$. Cases $\beta_1 = 0, -\frac{1}{2}, -1$ will be covered by cases $\beta_1 = -\beta_{-1}, -1 - \beta_{-1}, -2 - \beta_{-1}$ considered below. Thus suppose $\beta_1 = 1$. By (3.20) and the first equation of (3.9), we obtain

$$c_{2i,2j} = \frac{2\alpha(\alpha^2 + \alpha(i - j) - 4ij)}{(\alpha + 2j)(\alpha - 2i)}, \quad (3.22)$$

for all but a finite number of $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Setting i, j, k in (3.5) to be $2i, 2j, 2k$ respectively, using (3.22), we see that (3.5) does not hold. Thus we obtain the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2. If $\beta_1 = -\beta_{-1}$, then $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and after a change of notation, we have $\beta_1 = 0$ which is covered by the cases $\beta_1 = -1 - \beta_{-1}$ considered below.

Proof. By (3.15), (3.11) and the first equation of (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,2k} &= \frac{2\alpha(\alpha + (\beta_1 - 1)k)}{\alpha + 2\beta_1 k}, \quad c_{2k,0} = \frac{2\alpha(\alpha + (\beta_1 + 1)k)}{\alpha + 2\beta_1 k}, \\ c_{2k,2k} &= \frac{2\alpha(\alpha + 2(\beta_1 - 1)k)(\alpha + 2(\beta_1 + 1)k)}{(\alpha + 2\beta_1 k)(\alpha + 4\beta_1 k)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

for all but a finite number of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Setting i, j, k in (3.5) to be $2k, 2k, -2k$ respectively, using (3.23), we obtain

$$-\frac{48\alpha(\beta_1 - 1)(\beta_1 + 1)k^3}{(\alpha + 2\beta_1 k)(\alpha + 4\beta_1 k)} = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

for all but a finite number of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This proves $\beta_1 = \pm 1$. By symmetry, we can suppose $\beta_1 = 1, \beta_{-1} = -1$. Assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k - \alpha$ is even. Setting $j = -\alpha, i = \alpha + k$ in (3.5), we obtain that $c_{\alpha+k, k-\alpha} = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$. In particular, $c_{2\alpha,0} = 0$. But from (3.23) we see that $c_{2\alpha,0} = 2\alpha \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus by (2.2), we can re-take β_1 to be zero. $\square$

Lemma 3. If $\beta_1 = -1 - \beta_{-1}$, then after a change of notation, we have $\beta_1 = -2, \frac{1}{2}$. The case $\beta_1 = -2$ is covered by the case $\beta_1 = -2 - \beta_{-1}$ considered below, while the case $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ leads to a contradiction.

Proof. By interchanging $\mathcal{A}_{0,1}$ with $\mathcal{A}_{0,-1}$ if necessary, we can suppose $\beta_1 \neq 0, 1$ since $\beta_1 + \beta_{-1} = -1$. So $L_{i,1} \neq 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. From (3.15) we get $c_{0,2i} = 2\alpha$ for almost all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then from (3.9) and (3.5), we get

$$c_{i,j} = 2\alpha \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ (except possibly one } i \text{ if } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta_{-1} = 0, 1). \quad (3.25)$$

For $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$[L_{k,-1}, [L_{i,1}, L_{j,1}]] = c_{k,i}[L_{k+i,0}, L_{j,1}] - c_{k,j}[L_{k+j,0}, L_{i,1}] = 2\alpha(j-i)(1-\beta_1)L_{i+j+k,1}. \quad (3.26)$$

This shows that $[L_{i,1}, L_{j,1}] \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_{j,2} \neq \{0\}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose a basis $L_{j,2}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{j,2}$ as follows:

$$L_{j,2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{j}[L_{0,1}, L_{j,1}] & \text{if } j \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}[L_{-1,1}, L_{1,1}] & \text{if } j = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

Then by (3.26),

$$[L_{k,-1}, L_{i,2}] = 2\alpha(1-\beta_1)L_{i+k,1} \text{ for } i, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.28)$$

Since $[L_{i,1}, L_{j,1}] \in \mathcal{A}_{i+j,2}$, assume that $[L_{i,1}, L_{j,1}] = c'_{i,j}L_{i+j,2}$ for some $c'_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}$, then using (3.26), (3.28), we obtain

$$[L_{i,1}, L_{j,1}] = (j-i)L_{i+j,2} \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.29)$$

Applying $\text{ad } L_{k,0}$ to (3.29), we obtain

$$[L_{k,0}, L_{i,2}] = (2\alpha + i + (2\beta_1 + 1)k)L_{i+k,2} \text{ for } i, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.30)$$

Now by (3.25), (3.28)-(3.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{k,-1}, [L_{i,1}, L_{j,2}]] &= 2\alpha([L_{i+k,0}, L_{j,2}] + (1-\beta_1)[L_{i,1}, L_{j+k,1}]) \\ &= 2\alpha(2\alpha + 3\beta_1 i + (2-\beta_1)j + (2+\beta_1)k)L_{i+j+k,2} \text{ for } i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}_{i,3} \neq \{0\}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,3}$ is a Vir-module, we can choose $0 \neq L_{i,3} \in \mathcal{A}_{i,3}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$[L_{k,0}, L_{i,3}] = (3\alpha + i + \beta_3 k)L_{i+k,3} \text{ for } i, k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ (and } i+\alpha, i+k+\alpha \neq 0 \text{ if } \beta_3 = 0, 1), \quad (3.32)$$

where 3α appears because it is the eigenvalue of $L_{0,0}$ on $L_{i,3}$ (cf. (2.1)). Suppose

$$[L_{i,1}, L_{j,2}] = d_{i,j}L_{i+j,3} \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and some } d_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad (3.33)$$

such that at least some $d_{i,j} \neq 0$ by (3.31). Applying $\text{ad } L_{k,-1}$ to (3.33), by (3.31), we obtain

$$d_{i,j}[L_{k,-1}, L_{i+j,3}] = 2\alpha(2\alpha + 3\beta_1 i + (2-\beta_1)j + (2+\beta_1)k)L_{i+j+k,2} \text{ for } i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.34)$$

Setting $i = 0$ and replacing j by $i + j$ in (3.34), we obtain

$$d_{0,i+j}[L_{k,-1}, L_{i+j,3}] = 2\alpha(2\alpha + (2 - \beta_1)(i + j) + (2 + \beta_1)k)L_{i+j+k,2} \text{ for } i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.35)$$

Formulas (3.34), (3.35) show that

$$d_{i,j}(2\alpha + (2 - \beta_1)(i + j) + (2 + \beta_1)k) = d_{0,i+j}(2\alpha + 3\beta_1 i + (2 - \beta_1)j + (2 + \beta_1)k) \text{ for } i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.36)$$

By (3.3), (3.30), (3.32), (3.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{0,i}(3\alpha + i + \beta_3 k)L_{3,i+k} &= [L_{k,0}, [L_{0,1}, L_{i,2}]] \\ &= ((\alpha + \beta_1 k)d_{k,i} + (2\alpha + i + (2\beta_1 + 1)k)d_{0,i+k})L_{3,i+k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Comparing the coefficient of k in (3.36) gives $d_{i,j} = d_{0,i+j}$ or $2 + \beta_1 = 0$. Assume that $\beta_1 = -2$. Then $\beta_{-1} = 1$, and by (2.2), we can re-choose $\beta_{-1} = 0$. Thus this becomes a special case of Case 4 considered below. Thus we can suppose $d_{i,j} = d_{0,i+j}$. Then (3.36) gives $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then (3.37) gives

$$d_{0,i+k} = \frac{d_{0,i}(3\alpha + i + \beta_3 k)}{3\alpha + i + \frac{5k}{2}}. \quad (3.38)$$

In particular

$$d_{0,k} = \frac{d_{0,0}(3\alpha + \beta_3 k)}{3\alpha + \frac{5k}{2}}. \quad (3.39)$$

Substituting (3.39) into (3.38) we obtain that

$$(3\alpha + \beta_3 i)(3\alpha + i + \beta_3 k)(3\alpha + \frac{5(k+i)}{2}) = (3\alpha + \beta_3(k+i))(3\alpha + i + \frac{5k}{2})(3\alpha + \frac{5i}{2}), \quad (3.40)$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{4}(-5 + 2\beta_3)ik(5\beta_3 k + 5\beta_3 i + 6\beta_3 \alpha + 9\alpha) = 0.$$

Then $\beta_3 = \frac{5}{2}$ and $d_{0,i} = d_{0,0}$ is a constant. By re-choosing $L_{i,3}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can assume $d_{i,j} = 1$, and (3.33) means that the left-hand side of (3.31) is $[L_{k,-1}, L_{i+j,3}]$. Note that we have got

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \beta_{-1} = -\frac{3}{2}, \beta_3 = \frac{5}{2}. \quad (3.41)$$

Similar to (3.26), we have

$$[L_{k,1}, [L_{i,-1}, L_{j,-1}]] = -5\alpha(j - i)L_{i+j+k,-1} \text{ for } i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which shows that $[L_{i,-1}, L_{j,-1}] \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_{j,-2} \neq \{0\}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose a basis $L_{j,-2}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{j,-2}$ to be

$$L_{j,-2} = \frac{1}{j}[L_{j,-1}, L_{0,-1}] \text{ if } j \neq 0 \text{ and } L_{0,-2} = \frac{1}{2}[L_{1,-1}, L_{-1,-1}], \quad (3.42)$$

then

$$[L_{i,-1}, L_{j,-1}] = (i-j)L_{i+j,-2}, [L_{i,1}, L_{j,-2}] = 5\alpha L_{i+j,-1}, [L_{i,0}, L_{j,-2}] = (-2\alpha + j - 2i)L_{i+j,-2},$$

and

$$[L_{k,1}, [L_{i,-1}, L_{j,-2}]] = \alpha(4\alpha + 9i - 7j - k)L_{i+j+k,-2}. \quad (3.43)$$

This shows that $\mathcal{A}_{i,-3} \neq \{0\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose $L_{i,-3} \in \mathcal{A}_{i,-3} \setminus \{0\}$ and suppose $[L_{i,-1}, L_{j,-2}] = d'_{i,j}L_{i+j,-3}$ for some $d'_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}$. Then at least some $d'_{i,j} \neq 0$. Setting $i = 0$ and replacing j by $i+j$ in (3.43), comparing the result with (3.43), we obtain

$$d'_{i,j}(4\alpha - 7i - 7j - k) = d'_{0,i+j}(4\alpha + 9i - 7j - k) \text{ for } i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.44)$$

Comparing the coefficient of k we get $d'_{i,j} = d'_{0,i+j}$, and then we obtain that (3.44) cannot hold for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ cannot occur. $\square$

Therefore, it remains to consider the only case $\beta_1 = -2 - \beta_{-1}$. By interchanging $\mathcal{A}_{0,1}$ with $\mathcal{A}_{0,-1}$ if necessary, we can suppose $\beta_1 \neq 0$. We denote $\beta = \beta_1 + 1$. Then $\beta \neq 1$.

Lemma 4.1. If $\beta_1 = -2 - \beta_{-1}$ and $\beta_{-1} \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ or $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta; a_1, a_2, a'_2)$.

Proof. First we claim: one can choose $\{L_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \in Z^+\}$ such that (1.6) holds for $(i, j), (k, \ell), (i+k, j+\ell) \in Z^+$.

Using (3.15) and the statements after (3.19), we get

$$c_{i,j} = 2\alpha + (\beta - 1)i + (\beta + 1)j \text{ for } (i, 1), (j, 1) \in Z. \quad (3.45)$$

For $(j, 2) \in Z$, choose $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(k, -1), (i, 1), (j-i, 1), (j+k, 2) \in Z$, then

$$[L_{k,-1}, [L_{i,1}, L_{j-i,1}]] = (1 - \beta)((2\beta - 1)k + 3\alpha + (\beta + 1)j)(j - 2i)L_{j+k,1}. \quad (3.46)$$

This shows that if $j \neq 2i$, then $0 \neq [L_{i,1}, L_{j-i,1}] \in \mathcal{A}_{j,2}$. So $\mathcal{A}_{j,2} \neq 0$ for all $(j, 2) \in Z$. For $(j, 2) \in Z$, choose minimal $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $2i \neq j$ and $(i, 1), (j-i, 1) \in Z$ and define

$$L_{j,2} = \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)(j - 2i)}[L_{i,1}, L_{j-i,1}]. \quad (3.47)$$

Then by (3.46),

$$[L_{k,-1}, L_{i,2}] = ((2\beta - 1)k + 3\alpha + (\beta + 1)i)L_{i+k,1} \text{ for } (k, -1), (i, 2), (i+k, 1) \in Z. \quad (3.48)$$

Assume that $[L_{i,1}, L_{j,1}] = c'_{i,j}L_{i+j,2}$ for $(i, 1), (j, 1), (i+j, 2) \in Z$, then by (3.46)-(3.48), $c'_{i,j} = (1 - \beta)(j - i)$, i.e.,

$$[L_{i,1}, L_{j,1}] = (1 - \beta)(j - i)L_{i+j,2} \text{ for } (i, 1), (j, 1), (i+j, 2) \in Z. \quad (3.49)$$

Applying $\text{ad } L_{k,0}$ to it, using (3.3), (3.47), we can deduce

$$[L_{k,0}, L_{j,2}] = (2\alpha + j + (2\beta - 1)k)L_{j+k,2} \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}, (j, 2), (j+k, 2) \in Z. \quad (3.50)$$

For $m \geq 2$, assume that we have chosen $L_{i,m}$ for $(i, m) \in Z$ such that (1.6) holds with $-1 \leq j, \ell, j + \ell \leq m$. For $(j, m+1) \in Z$, choose $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(k, -1), (i, 1), (j - i, m), (j - i + k, m - 1), (j + k, m) \in Z, \quad (3.51)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & [L_{k,-1}, [L_{i,1}, L_{j-i,m}]] \\ &= (\alpha(m+2) + k(\beta(m+1) - 1) + (\beta+1)j)(\alpha(m-1) + (1-\beta)j + (\beta(m+1) - 2)i)L_{j+k,m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

This shows that $0 \neq [L_{i,1}, L_{j-i,m}] \in \mathcal{A}_{j,m+1}$. So $\mathcal{A}_{j,m+1} \neq 0$ for all $(j, m+1) \in Z$. For $(j, m+1) \in Z$, choose minimal $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that (3.51) holds with $k = 0$, then we define

$$L_{j,m+1} = (\alpha(m-1) + (1-\beta)j + (\beta(m+1) - 2)i)^{-1}[L_{i,1}, L_{j-i,m}]. \quad (3.53)$$

Then as in the arguments after (3.47), we obtain that (1.6) holds with $-1 \leq j, \ell, j + \ell \leq m+1$. This proves the claim.

Similarly, as in the proof of the claim above, we have the following claim: one can choose $\{L_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \in Z\}$ such that (1.6) holds.

So if $Z = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathcal{A}_{i,j} = 0$ for $(i, j) \notin Z$, then we obtained that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta)$. Thus assume that $Z \neq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathcal{A}_{i_0,j_0} \neq 0$ for some $(i_0, j_0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus Z$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_{0,\pm 1}$, we can find some $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(i, j), (i_0 - i, j_0 - j) \in Z$ and

$$L_{i_0,j_0} = [L_{i,j}, L_{i_0-i,j_0-j}] \neq 0. \quad (3.54)$$

Then by applying $\text{ad } L_{i,0}$ and $\text{ad } L_{0,\pm 1}$ to (3.54), we see that L_{i_0,j_0} is a central element of $\mathcal{A}$. Since central extensions are determined by 2-cocycles, by [DZ] we obtain that $\mathcal{A}$ has the form (1.3). $\square$

Lemma 4.2a. If $\beta_1 = -2 - \beta_{-1}$ and $\beta_{-1} = 0$ with $\dim(\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,-2}) \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Then $\beta_1 = -2$ and $\beta = -1$. By (3.3), (3.46), we have

$$[L_{k,1}, [L_{i,-1}, L_{j,-1}]] = 0 \text{ for } i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.55)$$

First assume that $\dim(\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,-2}) \geq 2$. Then $\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,-2}$ is a nontrivial Vir-module. Thus $\dim(\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,-2}) = \infty$ and there exists $\beta_{-2} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that we can choose suitable $L_{i,-2} \in \mathcal{A}_{i,-2} \setminus \{0\}$ if $\mathcal{A}_{i,-2} \neq \{0\}$ and $L_{i,-2} = 0$ if $\mathcal{A}_{i,-2} = \{0\}$ such that

$$[L_{k,0}, L_{i,-2}] = (-2\alpha + i + \beta_{-2}k)L_{i+k,-2}, \quad (3.56)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all but a finite number of $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (note that (3.56) holds for all $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $2\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $\beta_{-2} \neq 0, 1$, and (3.56) holds for $i, i+k \neq 2\alpha$ if $2\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta_{-2} = 0, 1$). Since $\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,-2} = \oplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} [\mathcal{A}_{i,-1}, \mathcal{A}_{j,-1}]$, by (3.55) we have

$$[L_{i,1}, L_{j,-2}] = 0 \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.57)$$

From this and (3.45), (3.56), we have

$$[L_{k,1}, [L_{i,-1}, L_{j,-2}]] = -(2\alpha - 2i)(-2\alpha + j + (i + k)\beta_{-2})L_{i+j+k,-2}. \quad (3.58)$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}_{i,-3} \neq \{0\}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there exist $\beta_{-3} \in \mathbb{F}$ and $L_{i,-3} \in \mathcal{A}_{i,-3} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$[L_{k,0}, L_{i,-3}] = (-3\alpha + i + \beta_{-3}k)L_{i+k,-3}, \quad (3.59)$$

for all $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(i - 3\alpha)(i + k - 3\alpha) \neq 0$. Write

$$[L_{i,-1}, L_{j,-2}] = d_{i,j}L_{i+j,-3} \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and some } d_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad (3.60)$$

then at least some $d_{i,j} \neq 0$. Applying $\text{ad } L_{k,0}$ to (3.60), we obtain

$$(-\alpha + i)d_{i+k,j} + (-2\alpha + j + k)d_{i,j+k} = (-3\alpha + i + j + \beta_{-3}k)d_{i,j}, \quad (3.61)$$

for $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(j + k - 3\alpha)(j - 3\alpha)(i + j - 3\alpha)(i + j + k - 3\alpha) \neq 0$. Setting $i = 0$ and replacing j by $i + j$ in (3.58), comparing the result with (3.58), we obtain

$$2\alpha(-2\alpha + i + j + \beta_{-2}k)d_{i,j} = (2\alpha - 2i)(-2\alpha + j + (i + k)\beta_{-2})d_{0,i+j}, \quad (3.62)$$

for all but a finite number of $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This shows that $\beta_{-2} = 0$ or $d_{i,j} = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha - i)d_{0,i+j}$. Using (2.2), we can suppose $\beta_{-2} = 1$. Then we have $d_{i,j} = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha - i)d_{0,i+j}$. Using this in (3.61), we get that $\beta_{-3} = 2$ and $d_{0,i}$ is a constant. Thus we can take $d_{0,i} = -\alpha$ to get $d_{i,j} = -\alpha + i$. Write

$$[L_{i,-1}, L_{j,-1}] = d'_{i,j}L_{i+j,-2} \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and some } d'_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad (3.63)$$

such that some $d'_{i,j} \neq 0$. Applying $\text{ad } L_{k,0}$ to this equation, using (3.56), we obtain

$$(-\alpha + i)d'_{i+k,j} + (-\alpha + j)d'_{i,j+k} = (-2\alpha + i + j + k)d'_{i,j}, \quad (3.64)$$

for all but a finite number of $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $d'_{i,i} = 0$ and it is easy to see that this system has a unique solution up to a nonzero scalar and $d'_{i,j} = j - i$ is a solution. Thus we can take $d'_{i,j} = j - i$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, by applying $\text{ad } L_{\alpha-i,0}$ to (3.63), we see that $L_{\alpha,-1} \neq 0$ and $[L_{\alpha-i,0}, L_{i,-1}] = (-\alpha + i)L_{\alpha,-1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, as a Vir-module, $\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,-1}$ is isomorphic to $A_{-\alpha,0}$ (cf. (2.1)). Similarly if $2\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, by considering $[L_{k,-1}, [L_{i,-1}, L_{2\alpha-i,-1}]]$ and using (3.60), we can obtain $L_{2\alpha,-2} \neq 0$ and $\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,-2} \cong A_{-2\alpha,1}$ as Vir-modules. Thus we have proved (1.6) for $j \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, $\ell \geq -2$ and for all $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Our first claim is: Formula (1.6) holds for $j \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and for all $i, k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We shall show this claim by induction on $-\ell$. We know that the claim holds for $\ell \geq -2$. Assume that the claim holds for $\ell \leq -2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [L_{k,1}, [L_{i,-1}, L_{j,\ell}]] \\ &= ((-2\alpha + 2i)[L_{i+k,0}, L_{j,\ell}] - (\ell + 2)((\ell - 1)\alpha + 2j - (\ell + 1)k)[L_{i,-1}, L_{j+k,\ell+1}]) \\ &= (\alpha - i)(\ell + 1)((\ell - 2)\alpha + 2(i + j) - \ell k)L_{i+j+k,\ell}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}_{i,\ell-1} \neq \{0\}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so there exist $\beta_{\ell-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ and $L_{i,\ell-1} \in \mathcal{A}_{i,\ell-1} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$[L_{k,0}, L_{i,\ell-1}] = ((\ell-1)\alpha + i + \beta_{\ell-1}k)L_{i+k,\ell-1}, \quad (3.66)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all but a finite number of $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write

$$[L_{i,-1}, L_{j,\ell}] = d_{i,j}^{(\ell)} L_{i+j,\ell-1} \text{ for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and some } d_{i,j}^{(\ell)} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad (3.67)$$

then at least some $d_{i,j}^{(\ell)} \neq 0$. Applying $\text{ad } L_{k,0}$ to (3.67), we obtain

$$(-\alpha + i)d_{i+k,j}^{(\ell)} + (\ell\alpha + j - (\ell+1)k)d_{i,j+k}^{(\ell)} = ((\ell-1)\alpha + i + j + \beta_{\ell-1}k)d_{i,j}^{(\ell)}, \quad (3.68)$$

for all but a finite number of $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Setting $i = 0$ and replacing j by $i + j$ in (3.65), comparing the result with (3.65), we obtain $d_{i,j}^{(\ell)} = \alpha^{-1}(\alpha - i)d_{0,i+j}^{(\ell)}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using this in (3.68), we obtain $\beta_{\ell-1} = -\ell$ (thus (3.66), (3.68) hold for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$) and $d_{0,i}^{(\ell)}$ is a constant. Thus we can set $d_{0,i}^{(\ell)} = -\alpha$ to give $d_{i,j}^{(\ell)} = -\alpha + i$. Then (3.65) and (3.67) show that

$$[L_{i,1}, L_{k,\ell-1}] = (\ell+1)((\ell-2)\alpha + 2k - \ell i)L_{i+k,\ell} \text{ for } i, k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.69)$$

This proves the claim.

Our second claim is: Formula (1.5) holds for all $i, j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Formula (1.5) holds if $j, \ell \geq -1$, or $j = 0, \pm 1$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. By skew-symmetry, we only need to show (1.5) for $j \leq -2$. So we assume that $j \leq -2$.

If $j = -2$, then from

$$\begin{aligned} (i - 2i')[L_{i,-2}, L_{k,\ell}] &= [[L_{i',-1}, L_{i-i',-1}], L_{k,\ell}] \\ &= (-\alpha + i')[L_{i'+k,\ell-1}, L_{i-i',-1}] + (-\alpha + i - i')[L_{i',-1}, L_{i-i'+k,\ell-1}] \\ &= (-(-\alpha + i')(-\alpha + i - i') + (-\alpha + i')(-\alpha + i - i'))L_{i+k,-2} \\ &= 0 \text{ for } i' \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

we see that $[L_{i,-2}, L_{k,\ell}] = 0$. If $j < -2$, using $L_{i,j} = (-\alpha + i')^{-1}[L_{i',-1}, L_{i-i',j+1}]$ for $i' \neq \alpha$, and by induction on $-j$, we obtain $[L_{i,j}, L_{k,\ell}] = 0$ for $j < -1$ and $\ell < -1$.

Assume that $j \geq 1$. If $j + \ell \geq -1$, by writing $L_{i,j}$ as $L_{i,j} = a[L_{i',1}, L_{i'',j-1}]$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}, i', i'' \in \mathbb{Z}$, and by the first claim and induction on j , we have $[L_{i,j}, L_{k,\ell}] = 0$. Thus assume that $j + \ell \leq -2$. Again write $L_{i,j}$ as $L_{i,j} = a[L_{i',1}, L_{i'',j-1}]$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}, i', i'' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then using induction on j and the first claim, we can easily verify that

$$[L_{i,j}, L_{k,\ell}] = [-\ell-2]_{-\ell-j-2}(k(j+1) - (\ell+1)i + (\ell-j)\alpha)L_{i+k,j+\ell}, \quad (3.71)$$

for $k > 1, \ell < -1$. This proves the second claim.

Thus $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ if $\dim(\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,-2}) \geq 2$. □

Lemma 4.2b. If $\beta_1 = -2 - \beta_{-1}$ and $\beta_{-1} = 0$ with $\dim(\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{i,-2}) \leq 1$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^+(\alpha, -1, a_1, a_2, a'_2)$.

Proof. The result follows by a similar discussion in the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 4.1. $\square$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

- [B] R. Block, On torsion-free abelian groups and Lie algebras , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **9** (1958), 613-620.
- [DZ] D. Z. Djokovic and K. Zhao, Derivations, isomorphisms, and second cohomology of generalized Block algebras, *Algebra Colloquium*, **3** (1996), 245-272.
- [KS] I. Kaplansky and L. J. Santharoubane, Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro algebra, MSRI Publications, No.4 (1985), 217-231.
- [M] O. Mathieu, Classification of simple graded Lie algebras of finite growth, *Invent. Math.*, **108** (1992), 455-589.
- [OZ1] J. M. Osborn and K. Zhao, Doubly $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras containing a Virasoro algebra, *J. Alg.*, **219** (1999), 266-298.
- [OZ2] J. M. Osborn and K. Zhao, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras containing a Heisenberg algebra, *Nonassociative algebra and its applications* (Sao Paulo, 1998), 259-274, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 211, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [OZ3] J. M. Osborn and K. Zhao, A characterization of certain loop algebras, *J. Alg.*, **221** (1999), 345-359.
- [OZ4] J. M. Osborn and K. Zhao, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras containing a Virasoro algebra and a Heisenberg algebra, *Comm. Alg.*, **29** (2001), 1677-1706.
- [OZ5] J. M. Osborn and K. Zhao, A characterization of the Block Lie algebra and its q -forms in characteristic 0, *J. Alg.*, **207** (1998), 367-408.
- [Z] K. Zhao, Isomorphic irreducible representations of the Virasoro algebra (in Chinese), *Systems Sciences and Mathematical Science*, **14** (1994), 209-212.